

From: [REDACTED]
To: [A303 Stonehenge](#)
Subject: Stonehenge
Date: 26 September 2022 09:46:16

For the attention of Rt Hon Anne-Marie Trevelyan MP

Dear, Sirs!

Alternatives should always be examined and used if offering a better solution. The alternative route of a southern bypass should be examined and used in order to avoid the adverse impact of the proposal.

The government's independent specialist has criticised the proposal. Did the government choose it knowing its team to be reliable or unreliable? Does the government not trust its own independent adviser?

If UNESCO's World Heritage Committee has doubts, then who are we to question its decisions? is the government better placed?

A former Secretary of State has raised the significant adverse effect of the tunnel.

The High Court rejected the Department's decision because the Transport Secretary had not given proper consideration to alternatives. Alternatives should always be examined and used if offering a better solution.

Before proceeding all of the alternatives and new evidence must be fully and openly discussed and taken into account with the advice of the Planning Inspectorate.

